







# CS 175: Project in Artificial Intelligence Winter 2025 Introduction

## Roy Fox

Department of Computer Science School of Information and Computer Sciences University of California, Irvine

## What is a project

## Today's lecture

### Course overview

## What is reinforcement learning

#### Project ideas

## Learning goals

## Software Engineering

#### Practice AI/ML

#### Presentation Skills

- Be creative about what problem to solve
- Get a feel for what's practical to solve and how
	- Implement and debug a machine learning pipeline
- Design and implement a complex software system
	- Use modern software practices
	- **Experience collaborative software development**
- "Sell" your ideas in writing, figures, and talk
- Present your project in a convincing manner
- Document and maintain a project website

# Lectures and assignments

- Lectures in weeks 1 and 2
	- ‣ Overview of project expectations and ideas
	- Introduction of general principles of reinforcement learning (RL) in a nutshell
	- ‣ Many online resources; no discussion section
- Exercises due in weeks 3 and 4
	- ‣ Install one project platform
	- ‣ Implement and experiment with basic RL algorithm
- No exams

# Project meetings and presentations

- Project timeline:
	- ‣ Week 3: team formation (3 students per team) + proposals
	- Continually: reading > thinking > implementation > experimentation > evaluation
	- ‣ Week 7: progress reports
	- ‣ Weeks 10 and 11: final reports, live presentations
- Project meetings:
	- ‣ Teams should meet regularly
	-

#### • Meet with course staff as often as you want; at least twice by weeks 5 and 9



# Course logistics

- When: today and next Wednesday, 5pm–7:50
	- ‣ Week 11 presentations TBD
- Website:<https://royf.org/crs/CS175/W25> ← Schedule! Resources!
- Forum:<https://edstem.org/us/courses/71615>
	- ‣ For announcements and questions (do not email)
- Exercise submission: <https://www.gradescope.com/courses/945388>
- Office hours: [in-person](https://calendar.app.google/ndzegWqZVM1yPE1s7) or [on zoom,](https://calendar.app.google/XAdQBnBLvfdyDmLs8) more times available by request
	- TA: JB Lanier, offi[ce hours](https://calendar.app.google/Hc5Aqd3Dpy4N8svQ7)

# Grading policy

- Exercises (weeks 3+4)
- Project proposal (week 3)
- Progress report (week 7)
- Project report (week 10)
- Presentation (week 11)
- Grace days:
	- ‣ Exercises: 3 days total per person
	- ‣ Project: 5 days total per team



# How to participate

- Meetings
	- ‣ Show up prepared, ask questions, engage in discussion
- Forum
	- ‣ Ask questions if you have any, answer if you can
	- ‣ Post relevant useful links
	- ‣ Upvote useful posts
	- ‣ Give private feedback to staff
	- ‣ Logistics questions and comments appreciated, but substance counts
- Evaluations

## What is a project

## Today's lecture

### Course overview

## What is reinforcement learning

#### Project ideas

# Project paradigms

- Application-driven
	- Identify a worthwhile task (or collection) and understand why it's hard
	- Use any means necessary to get an agent to (learn how to) perform the task(s)
		- Can be off-the-shelf methods, their adaptation, combination, or something new
- Method-driven
	- ‣ Study what makes a method good and/or make it better
	- ‣ Theory (analyze and prove), empirical science (measure), or engineering (build)
		- Show benefits on toy examples, simulations (simplified or not), or real domains

# Application-driven projects

- Applications inform innovation
	- Can't just define / assume / modify your way around challenges
	- ‣ Doesn't mean you can't choose your battles
		- Create stepping stones by simplifying hard problems
		- Know when to change approach, think outside the box, walk away, come back
- Bridging problems and solutions is key
	- ‣ Identify data, modeling assumptions, decompositions, pipelines, auxiliary tasks
	- ‣ May require domain knowledge, experimentation, adaptation

# Method-driven projects

- Not all future applications need groundbreaking methods, but many do
- A method is measured by how it evaluates across tasks
	- ‣ Quantitatively and qualitatively
	- ‣ Benefit / applicability tradeoff
		- Narrower applicability is justified when benefits are large / value is high
		-
	-

- Also matters: can you predict if a method is applicable / beneficial to a task?

‣ But it's not all about the technology: there's science, art, education, recreation, …

## Quantitative evaluation

- Expected rewards: may be what we really care about, or arbitrary
- Task success rate: may be what we really care about, or undefined
- Worst-case / safety violations
- Resource requirements
	-
- Compare to baselines / ablations
	- Don't need to win on all / any metrics to be interesting
	- ‣ Show which aspects of the method matter for which aspects of the task

• Sample complexity, expert supervision, learning / deployment compute, memory

## Qualitative evaluation

- Illustrate on toy examples
	- What does the solution look like? Is that expected? Desired?
	- ‣ Build intuition for the core task challenges and key method operation
	- ‣ How far can you push the method's benefits?
- What is the moonshot application(s)?
- Does the agent behavior exhibit interesting properties? Expected? Desired?
- Dirty laundry: what do failure modes look like? Any pattern?
	- Recommend when to use / avoid this approach? Detect failures? Future ideas?

# FECs (Frequent Existential Crises)

- Is this project interesting? Significant? Impactful?
	- ‣ Why am I even doing this?
		- Why does anyone do anything?
- Is this task too hard? Too easy?
	-
- Am I using the right method? Right evaluation?
- Do I have enough data? Model size? Training time?
- Do I have a bug? See See

• Is 7 weeks enough to make progress? Will the course staff be impressed?

## What is a project

## Today's lecture

### Course overview

## What is reinforcement learning

#### Project ideas







# RL ⊆ control learning ⊆ ML

- Reinforcement Learning = learning from reinforcement (rewards)
	- ‣ But it came to encompass many settings of learning to control
	- ‣ Distinguished by sequential decision making and learning
- Many consider RL a separate ML paradigm, but it can involve:
	- ‣ Supervised learning
	- ‣ Unsupervised learning
	- ‣ Active learning
	- ‣ Online learning

• Can we build "intelligent" machines? Intelligence = good decision making

# What is machine learning

- 
- Learning = taking in information to "know" more than you did before
- 
- ML can help when other AI methods fail:
	- ‣ Experts are scarce



- ‣ Rules / logic are hard to specify
- ‣ Search space is too large
- ‣ Models are unknown / hard to specify

## The ML stack

- Math: probability theory, (linear) algebra, computational learning theory
- Algorithms: ML algorithms, optimization, data structures
- Software: ML frameworks, databases, testing, deployment
- 

• Hardware: cloud computing, distributed systems, cyber-physical systems



Figure 1: The Transformer - model architecture.

Decoder

## ML success stories

#### **Protein folding**





#### **Image generation**



# What is control learning (CL)?

- - ‣ An agent interacting with an environment
- Control = sequential decision making
	- ‣ Sense environment state *s*
	- ‣ Take action *a*
	- ‣ Repeat
- - $\triangleright$  Or by accumulating high rewards  $r(s, a)$  reinforcement learning (RL)



### • Intelligence appears in interaction with a complex system, not in isolation

## • Success can be measured by matching good actions — imitation learning (IL)



# Control preference elicitation





## RL success stories

#### **Dextrous manipulation**













#### **Spatial navigation**



#### **Generator fine-tuning**







# RL is ML... but special

- In RL, unlike supervised, no ground truth, only feedback (online learning)
- Exploration = the learner collects data by interaction
	- The agent decides on which states to train (active learning) and test!
	- ‣ Cannot avoid some train–test mismatch
- Sequential decision making need to be coordinated
	- Optimization space is strewn with local optima
- A good policy may require memory
	- Agent state is latent  $\rightarrow$  combine control and inference







# Why is RL powerful?



- Many (all?) problems can be formulated as control
	- But consider: is it sequential? multi-agent? a more specific structure?
- Active + online = very little supervision
	- ‣ Even incidental, like in evolution! Supervisor can be "surprised"
- More general CL: incorporate stronger supervision
	- $\triangleright$  Supervisor burden is a tradeoff between data amount  $\leftrightarrow$  informativeness

# How is RL different?



# What would "solving" RL look like?

- Foundation model?
	- ‣ Large model
	- ‣ Huge amount of data
	- ‣ Centrally trained
	- ‣ Fine-tuned, built into pipelines

- Continual learning?
	- ‣ Flexible model
	- ‣ Ad-hoc data
	- Distributed learning
	- ‣ Mixed supervision, shared learning
- The last ML frontier?

#### **Foundation model Continual learning**

#### **modularity?**

# Why is RL hard?

• It's all about the data: amount and informativeness







# After the break: Basic RL concepts

## System state





• Markov property: the future is independent of the past, given the present

 $\blacktriangleright$  Given  $s_t$ , the history  $h = (s_0, ..., s_t)$  and the future  $(s_{t+1}, s_{t+2}, ...)$  are independent

## System state

- State = all relevant information from history **for future!**
	-

$$
s_{t-1}
$$

$$
p(s_{t+1}, s_{t+2}, \ldots | s_0, s_2, \ldots, s_t) = p(s_{t+1}, s_{t+2}, \ldots | s_t)
$$







## System = agent + environment



- Model of environment
	- $\rightarrow$   $\mathcal{S}$  = set of states
	- $\mathcal{A}$  = set of actions
	- $\rightarrow$   $p(s'|s, a)$  = state transition probability

- Probability that  $s_{t+1} = s'$ , if  $s_t = s$  and  $a_t = a$ 



# Markov Decision Process (MDP)



- "Model" of agent decision-making
	- Policy  $\pi(a | s)$  = probability of taking action  $a_t = a$  in state  $s_t = s$
	- $\triangleright$  In MDP, action  $a_t$  only depends on current state  $s_t$ :
		- Markov property  $= s_t$  is all that matters in history
		- Causality = cannot depend on the future
	- ‣ Should the policy depend on time?
		- Sometimes; can add t as feature:  $s_t \rightarrow (t, s_t)$

# Agent policy

$$
\pi_t : s_t \mapsto a_t
$$

$$
\rightarrow (t, s_t)
$$



# Trajectories

- The agent's behavior iteratively uses (rolls out) the policy
- Trajectory:  $\xi = (s_0, a_0, s_2, a_2, ..., s_T)$
- 

- Imitation learning: learn from dataset of expert demonstrations
	- **•** Supervised learning of  $\pi(a | s)$  from "labeled" states  $(s_t, a_t)$

• MDP + policy induce distribution over trajectories  
\n
$$
p_{\pi}(\xi) = p(s_0)\pi(a_0 \mid s_0)p(s_1 \mid s_0, a_0) \cdots \pi(a_{T-1} \mid s_{T-1})p(s_T \mid s_{T-1}, a_{T-1})
$$
\n
$$
= p(s_0) \prod_{t=0}^{T-1} \pi(a_t \mid s_t)p(s_{t+1} \mid s_t, a_t)
$$

agent

environment

# Learning from rewards

- Providing demonstrations is hard
	- ‣ Particularly for learner-generated trajectories
- Can the teacher just score learner actions?
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Reward:  $r(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}$
- High reward is positive reinforcement for this behavior (in this state)
	- ‣ Much closer to how natural agents learn
	-



• Designing and programming *r* often easier than programming / demonstrating *π* 

# Actions have long-term consequences

- Tradeoff: short-term rewards vs. long-term returns (accumulated rewards)
	- ‣ Fly drone: slow down to avoid crash?
	- ‣ Games: slowly build strength? block opponent? all out attack?
	- ‣ Stock trading: sell now or wait for growth?
	- Infrastructure control: reduce power output to prevent blackout?
	- Life: invest in college, obey laws, get started early on course project
- Forward thinking and planning are hallmarks of intelligence



•<br>•



- 
- Discount factor *γ* ∈ [0,1]
	- ‣ Higher weight to short-term rewards (and costs) than long-term
	- ‣ Good mathematical properties:
		- Assures convergence, simplifies algorithms, reduces variance
	- Vaguely economically motivated (inflation)

$$
r(s_t, a_t)
$$

## Summarize reward sequence  $r_t = r(s_t, a_t)$  as single number to be maximized

## $0 \leq \gamma < 1$

 $\mathfrak{t}.$   $s_T = s_f$ 

## Horizon classes

\n- Finite: 
$$
R(\xi) = \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} r(s_t, a_t)
$$
\n- Infinite:  $R(\xi) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} r(s_t, a_t)$
\n- Discounted:  $R(\xi) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r(s_t, a_t)$
\n- Episodic:  $R(\xi) = \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} r(s_t, a_t)$  s.t.
\n

# Basic RL concepts

- State:  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ ; action:  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ; reward:  $r(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}$
- Dynamics:  $p(s_{t+1} | s_t, a_t)$  for stochastic;  $s_{t+1} = f(s_t, a_t)$  for deterministic
- Policy:  $\pi(a_t | s_t)$  for stochastic;  $a_t = \pi(s_t)$  for deterministic

∏ *t*  $\pi(a_t | s_t) p(s_{t+1} | s_t, a_t)$ 

 $\leq \gamma < 1$ 

 $= a$ 

$$
\text{. Trajectory: } p_{\pi}(\xi = s_0, a_0, s_1, a_1, \ldots) = p(s_0)
$$

**Return:** 
$$
R(\xi) = \sum_t \gamma^t r(s_t, a_t)
$$
 0.

$$
Value: V(s) = \mathbb{E}_{\xi \sim p_{\pi}}[R \mid s_0 = s]
$$

$$
Q(s, a) = \mathbb{E}_{\xi \sim p_{\pi}}[R \mid s_0 = s, a_0 :
$$

• Deterministic dynamics: in state *s*, take action  $a$  to get to state  $s' = f(s, a)$ 

# Special case: shortest path



Example above:  $s' = f(s, a_{\text{left}})$ 

• Reward:  $(-1)$  in each step (until the goal  $s_f$  is reached)

## What is a project

## Today's lecture

### Course overview

## What is reinforcement learning

### Project ideas













# Some project ideas

- Applications:
	- ‣ MineCraft
	- ‣ DuckieTown
	- ‣ Obstacle Tower
	- ‣ Hanabi
	- ‣ Halite
	- ‣ Diplomacy



## MineCraft

- Open world: can define many scenarios and tasks
- Done many many times before, so you'd have to get very creative
- One interesting option: MindCraft lets language agents play MineCraft
	- ‣ <https://github.com/kolbytn/mindcraft>

## DuckieTown

- Drive a small vehicle on a foam track
- Common tasks: lane following, multi-agent collision avoidance
- You'd mostly work in a simulator
	- ‣ Successful projects can be deployed to real DuckieBots!



## Obstacle Tower

- Algorithmically generated locomotion puzzles
- Visual control + planning
- Progressively more challenging
	- ‣ Need generalization, continual learning, maybe symbolic planning





- Collaborative game, simple with many challenging expansions
- Distributed observability, solution can be centralized or not
- How to induce zero-shot cooperation?
	- Will the policy collaborate with humans / other training seeds?







- Competitive resource management (and combat) game
- Fully observable (Markov game) in a large but structured space
- Evaluation may be non-transitive:  $π<sub>1</sub> > π<sub>2</sub> > π<sub>3</sub> > π<sub>1</sub>$ 
	- ‣ Carefully evaluate against populations





- Multi-player alliance and betrayal game
- What do we even optimize? Worst-case performance is always bad
- Humans play with text communication
	- ‣ Why? Can AI learn to ally with / betray each other / humans?

# More project ideas

- Applications:
	- ‣ MineCraft
	- ‣ DuckieTown
	- ‣ Obstacle Tower
	- ‣ Hanabi
	- ‣ Halite
	- Diplomacy
	- ‣ More "serious": robots, infrastructure ‣ Large comparative study
- Method:
	- ‣ RL from non-reward feedback
	- ‣ Off-policy to on-policy RL
	- ‣ MaxEnt RL learning dynamics
	- ‣ RL for language generation
	- ‣ Model-based multi-agent RL
	- ‣ RL with sparse rewards



## • Compute resources: campus-wide HPC3 cluster <https://rcic.uci.edu/hpc3/>



## Resources and tools

- GitHub sync your work with teammates and course staff
- GitHub Pages maintain project website
- Program in Python
	- ‣ Use libraries (numpy, scikit-learn, pytorch, jax)
	- ‣ Many domains and algorithms have existing implementations
		- May be a reason to prefer one over another
-