CS 277: Control and Reinforcement Learning Winter 2022 Lecture 17: Multi-Task Learning

Roy Fox

Department of Computer Science Bren School of Information and Computer Sciences University of California, Irvine









evaluations

Course evaluations due end of the week, March 13

assignments

• Assignment 5 due next Tuesday

Today's lecture

Transfer learning

Domain randomization + adaptation



Roy Fox | CS 277 | Winter 2022 | Lecture 17: Multi-Task Learning

Shared learning

Learning from very little data

- As the number of learnable tasks grows
 - sample complexity per task must drop to be practical
- Our goal: learn a new task with
 - O-shot: no new training interactions (exploration / demonstration)
 - 1-shot: single training episode
 - few-shot: very few training episodes

Prior knowledge

- To only need little information from data, the rest must be a-priori
- Programmed prior knowledge:
 - Programmed policy / skills
 - Choice of observation and action representations
 - Feature extraction
 - World model (dynamics / reward)
 - Learner policy class / neural network architecture
 - Reward shaping

Learning prior knowledge from other tasks

- Transfer learning: first learn other task(s), then solve new task
 - with (>0-shot) or without (0-shot) more learning in the new task
- Practical question: what knowledge is transferred / shared?
 - Value function / policy
 - Perceptual features
 - World model
 - More later...

Idea 1: policy transfer

- Find similar task(s) where data is abundant
 - Easy to get many demonstrations / exploration episodes
 - E.g. simulator of the world \rightarrow real world (sim2real)
- Train policy with RL / IL in the abundant domain
- Execute policy in the scarce domain
 - Or fine-tune with further few-shot RL / IL, as needed

Soft-optimal policies for fine-tuning

- Problem: policy can "overfit" to pre-training task
 - Policy may become deterministic
 - unfit for exploration
 - optimizer may struggle to switch action
 - Perceptual features may deteriorate to only what's needed for actions
- Solution: keep policy soft-optimal
 - Max entropy subject to sufficiently high value

SQL pre-training helps fine-tuning

Soft Q-learning Fine-tuning a pretrained policy in a new environment

Idea 2: perceptual features transfer

- Interact to collect (robot pose, image) data
- Train perceptual features that recover image \rightarrow pose
- RL with perceptual features as observations

May again benefit from fine-tuning



Idea 3: model transfer

- Interact on one / many related tasks
- Fit a world model to the dynamics
- Model-based RL of a new task

- Problem: prior model is inaccurate
- Solution: take the pre-trained model
 - and fine-tune it using new task data





Today's lecture

Transfer learning

Domain randomization + adaptation



Roy Fox | CS 277 | Winter 2022 | Lecture 17: Multi-Task Learning

Shared learning

Domain randomization

- Choosing a source domain to match the target domain may be hard • Can we do better with multiple source domains?
- - Define distribution over tasks that supports the target \Rightarrow interpolation
 - Generalize even outside the support \Rightarrow extrapolation

Sim2real with domain randomization

Sim-to-Real Transfer of Robotic Control with Dynamics Randomization

Xue Bin Peng^{1,2}, Marcin Andrychowicz², Wojciech Zaremba², Pieter Abbeel^{1,2}

¹Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences, UC Berkeley, USA ²OpenAI, USA



Domain adaptation

- Domain randomization needs less domain knowledge (about target domain)
 - But much is still needed: a simulator in the ballpark, the randomization ranges
- The more we know about target domain, the better we can adapt the source
- Can we automate this adaptation process?
 - Using target-domain data

Sim2real with domain adaptation



(a) Simulated World

(b) Real World

• Source domain looks vaguely like target domain \Rightarrow may not transfer well

Idea: adapt the source domain to look more like the target (more realistic)



Curriculum learning

- Why pre-train a policy?
 - So far: to collect more data in faster simulator
 - Curriculum learning = start with easy version of the task, make it gradually harder
- If a task is hard to solve by itself, "training wheels" can help
 - Exploration never finds rewards? Shorten task
 - Rewards don't encourage exploring / reaching subgoals? Leave "breadcrumbs"
 - Poor SGD convergence properties? Coarsen states / actions / time
 - Challenging state inference under partial observability? Add observability

Goal GAN

- Repeat:
 - Sample goals, roll out policy
 - Reject goals with too low / high rewards
 - Train GAN to generate goals with this distribution
 - Train agent on generated goals
- Generated goals are intermediate-level:
 - just hard enough for the agent to learn something new
 - not so hard that it struggles to do it



AUTOMATIC GOAL GENERATION FOR REINFORCEMENT LEARNING AGENTS **MAZE-ANT**





Multi-task learning settings

- Transfer learning
- Shared learning
 - Learn multiple tasks jointly, have them inform each other
- Lifelong learning
 - Learn tasks as they occur, but also keep past abilities

Earlier domains / tasks are only stepping stones towards the ultimate task

- Avoid catastrophic forgetting = fine-tuning a model degrades its quality for old task

Today's lecture

Transfer learning

Domain randomization + adaptation



Roy Fox | CS 277 | Winter 2022 | Lecture 17: Multi-Task Learning

Shared learning

Shared learning

- What can be learned jointly across tasks?
 - World model / perceptual features
 - Domain randomization / adaptation can help
 - Policy
 - Multi-task policy distillation
 - Task-aware policy
 - Modules in a structured policy
 - Multi-task hierarchical control

Policy distillation

- Policy distillation = behavior cloning of existing policy with NLL loss Wait, but... why?! BC a policy we already have?
 - Network compression
 - Track "average" policy (stabilize training, fictitious play in game theory, etc.)
 - Combine multiple policies into one

Multi-task policy distillation

- Train a policy for each task
- Distill them into one policy
- Pros:
 - Effectively combining the empirical evidence from all datasets = more data
 - If tasks are related, distilled policy can be more stable
 - Generalize to similar tasks
- Cons:
 - If tasks aren't related, they compete for network capacity
 - One very wrong distilled policy can ruin it for everyone

Task-aware policy

- Similar to goal-conditioned behavior cloning
 - But more general: goal = state \rightarrow task = (dynamics + reward)
- Separate policy $\pi_{\tau}(a \mid s)$ for each task $\tau \rightarrow$ one task-aware policy $\pi(a \mid s, \tau)$
- Sometimes, a task has a natural embedding
 - Direction + speed for Ant / Humanoid
 - Index of a block to pick + position to place it
- Otherwise, can learn to embed task from specification
 - Task can be specified by demonstration / text / target image

Hierarchical Behavior Cloning





Learning from annotated demonstrations





Multi-task hierarchical imitation learning (HIL-MT)



Past data

Joint data

New data

demonstrations to learn Clear Table \rightarrow Set Table

\mathcal{D}_{clear}	\mathcal{D}_{set}	$\mathcal{D}_{clear} \cup \mathcal{D}_{set}$	Per-skill selectio
Failed	19±0.3	Failed	11.6 ±0.25







- Reuse data between related tasks
 - May hurt if tasks are unrelated
- To improve the task overlap: soft-optimality, randomization, adaptation
- Shared learning may benefit both source and target tasks
- Modularity allows mix-and-match of best approach
- Did not talk about: meta-learning, lifelong learning





Roy Fox | CS 277 | Winter 2022 | Lecture 17: Multi-Task Learning

Flowchart: which algorithm to choose?

