# Count-Based Temperature Scheduling for Maximum Entropy Reinforcement Learning

### Motivation

Empirical evidence from Soft Q Learning(SQL)[1] suggests that a state-independent linear scheduling can achieve good performance[2][3].

More insight can be gained from comparing two families of successful RL algorithms:

 G-Learning[2], SQL, Path Consistency Learning(PCL)[4], Soft Actor Critic[5]

 $\pi_i(a|s) \propto \pi_0(a|s) \exp \beta_i(s) Q_i(s,a) \qquad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, a \in \mathcal{A},$ 

• Relative Entropy Policy Search[6], Trust Region Policy Optimization[7],

$$\pi_i(a|s) \propto \pi_{i-1}(a|s) \exp \kappa_i(s) Q_i(s,a) \propto \pi_0(a|s) \exp \left(\sum_{j \le i} \kappa_j(s) Q_j(s,a)\right).$$

Combining the above two equations, we have:

$$\beta_i(s) \approx \sum_{j \le i} \kappa_j(s) \approx \kappa i,$$

## Count-Based Soft Q Learning

We propose Count-Based Soft Q Learning based on SQL that uses a state-dependent temperature schedule in which ß grows linearly with the number of times that the algorithm updates the Q function, for any action.

Let n(s,a) be the count of sampled data points, then the inverse temperature in CBSQL is

 $\beta(s) = \kappa \sum_{a} n(s, a)$ with  $\kappa > 0$  a constant hyperparameter.

### Experiments

### **Tabular Experiments**



Fig1 . Noisy Chain-walk Problem

Dailin Hu, Pieter Abbeel, Roy Fox



Fig2. Rewards averaged over 10000 runs on the Noisy Chain-Walk Problem

### **Atari Experiments**

Game	DQN	$SQL(\beta = 100)$	$SQL(\beta = 1000)$	CBSQL
Breakout	$5.9(\pm 5.9)$	$5.9(\pm 4.5)$	$5.1(\pm 4.7)$	<b>8.2</b> $(\pm 6.1)$
Freeway	$21.0(\pm 1.5)$	$14.6 (\pm 8.5)$	$22.56(\pm 4.7)$	<b>25.82</b> $(\pm 4.9)$
Pong	$1.93 (\pm 2.6)$	$17.83(\pm 2.2)$	$16.31 (\pm 2.7)$	$17.56~(\pm 2.0)$
Qbert	$568.4(\pm 1101.9)$	$828 (\pm 1411.7)$	$564.5(\pm 1097.5)$	<b>875.3</b> (±1254.6)
Seaquest	$13.5 (\pm 24.1)$	$4(\pm 60.2)$	$17.2 (\pm 24.0)$	<b>84.6</b> (±60.2)
SpaceInvaders	$132.7~(\pm 113.2)$	<b>158.9</b> (±128.5)	$132.25 (\pm 118.4)$	$138.9 (\pm 112.8)$

Fig3. DQN, fixed-temperature SQL and CBSQL average rewards (with standard deviation). Raw score are averaged over the last 100 testing episodes across 3 runs.

### Rainbow Integrations to CBSQL

We integrate CBSQL with Rainbow DQN[8], a state-of-the-art reinforcement learning algorithm for memoryless agents including multi-step learning, double-Q learning, prioritized experience replay, dueling networks, distribution RL and noisy networks. All these methods can be straightforwardly applied to soft Q learning except multi-step learning and distributional RL.

### Multi-step learning

Multi-step learning with a tuned-number of steps can lead to faster learning in on-policy RL algorithms. In SQL the n step truncated return is

$$\tilde{r}_t^{(n)} = r_t^{(n)} + \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \gamma^k \mathbb{H}[\pi(\cdot | s_{t+k})].$$

Unfortunately empirical policy entropy estimates are often very noisy and calls for further study. In this work we simply use 1-step returns for SQL and CBSQL.



### **Distributional RL**

We adapt distributional RL to SQL and CBSQL by defining a policy distribution of

 $\pi(a'|s') = \frac{\exp\beta(s')\boldsymbol{z}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{p}_{\bar{\theta}}(s',a')}{\sum_{\bar{a}'}\exp\beta(s')\boldsymbol{z}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{p}_{\bar{\theta}}(s',\bar{a}')}$ over the values

 $r + \gamma \left( \boldsymbol{z} - \frac{1}{\beta} \mathbb{D}[\pi(\cdot|s') \| \pi_0] \right)$ 

#### **Preliminary Results**



Fig4. CBSQL results compared with DQN and fixed-temperature SQL, with Rainbow. Rewards are averaged over 5 runs.

#### References

[1] Haarnoja T, Tang H, Abbeel P, Levine S. Reinforcement learning with deep energy-based policies. In International Conference on Machine Learning 2017 Jul 17 (pp. 1352-1361). PMLR.

[2] Fox R, Pakman A, Tishby N. Taming the noise in reinforcement learning via soft updates. arXiv preprint arXiv:1512.08562. 2016

[3] Grau-Moya J, Leibfried F, Vrancx P. Soft q-learning with mutual-information regularization. In International conference on learning representations 2018 Sep 27.

[4] Chow Y, Nachum O, Ghavamzadeh M. Path consistency learning in tsallis entropy regularized mdps. In International Conference on Machine Learning 2018 Jul 3 (pp. 979-988). PMLR.

[5] Haarnoja T, Zhou A, Abbeel P, Levine S. Soft actor-critic: Off-policy maximum entropy deep reinforcement learning with a stochastic actor. In International conference on machine learning 2018 Jul 3 (pp. 1861-1870). PMLR.

[6] Peters J, Mulling K, Altun Y. Relative entropy policy search. In Twenty-Fourth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence 2010 Jul 5.

[7] Schulman J, Levine S, Abbeel P, Jordan M, Moritz P. Trust region policy optimization. In International conference on machine learning 2015 Jun 1 (pp. 1889-1897). PMLR.

[8] Hessel M, Modayil J, Van Hasselt H, Schaul T, Ostrovski G, Dabney W, Horgan D, Piot B, Azar M, Silver D. Rainbow: Combining improvements in deep reinforcement learning. InThirty-second AAAI conference on artificial intelligence 2018 Apr 29.

